

PRESENTERS



Zane Kennedy, Mills Lane Chambers, Auckland

Zane is an experienced trial and appellate advocate with more than 25 years' experience. His areas of practice include company, competition, contract, intellectual property and insurance matters.



Mihai Pascariu, Hamilton Locke New Zealand, Auckland

Mihai leads the dispute resolution team at Hamilton Locke New Zealand. He has over 17 years' experience in commercial law and specific expertise in contract disputes, corporate governance, regulatory compliance and Commerce Commission investigations.

The statements and conclusions contained in this presentation are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This presentation has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1.	WHAT IS THIS CASE ABOUT?	1
2.	WHY IS THIS CASE IMPORTANT?	3
3.	BACKGROUND – THE COMPANY, ITS BUSINESS, AND ITS DIRECTORS	5
4.	THE LAW – THE PRINCIPLES DERIVED AND FOLLOWED	9
	WHEN DO DIRECTORS OF COMPANIES OWE DUTIES TO CREDITORS?	9
	ARE THESE DUTIES TO BE ASSESSED SUBJECTIVELY OR OBJECTIVELY?	9
	<i>Section 135</i>	9
	<i>Section 136</i>	10
	<i>Summary of the approach</i>	10
	WHAT ABOUT EXERCISING BUSINESS JUDGEMENT?	11
5.	MEASUREMENT OF LOSS: PRINCIPLES AND QUANTIFICATION.....	13
	NET DETERIORATION	13
	NEW DEBT.....	13
6.	RELIEF UNDER SECTION 301.....	15
7.	IMPLICATIONS OF THIS CASE FOR DIRECTORS	17
8.	CONCLUSION.....	19